The Ain Dara Temple Complex: A Pre-2010 Archaeological Portrait

I. Introduction

A. Overview of Ain Dara

Tell Ain Dara, situated in the Afrin region of northwest Syria, is a multi-period archaeological site primarily celebrated for its monumental Iron Age temple.[1] Prior to 2010, the Ain Dara temple was widely recognized as one of the most significant and best-preserved examples of religious architecture from its era in Syria and the broader Levant.[3] The temple is a key monument associated with the Syro-Hittite (also termed Neo-Hittite) culture that flourished in the region following the collapse of the Hittite Empire.[2] Its fame rested on its impressive scale, intricate basalt sculptures, unique architectural features including enigmatic giant footprints, and its frequently cited parallels to the biblical description of Solomon's Temple in Jerusalem.[1]

B. Geographic Location

The site is strategically located approximately 40-50 km northwest of Aleppo, near the modern village of Ain Dara and about 5-7 km south of the town of Afrin.[4] It occupies a tell, or archaeological mound, on the east bank of the Afrin River, a tributary of the Orontes, placing it close to the modern Syrian-Turkish border.[2] The Afrin River valley historically served as an important communication corridor between the Anatolian plateau and the Syrian interior, highlighting the site's potentially significant position in regional networks.[23]

C. Scope and Focus

This report endeavors to provide a comprehensive description of the Ain Dara archaeological site, concentrating specifically on the temple complex, its features, history, and scholarly interpretation as documented and understood *before the year 2010*. The information presented is based on archaeological excavations, surveys, conservation reports, and academic analyses available up to that time. While later events significantly impacted the site, discussion of post-2010 conditions falls outside the defined scope of this study, although photographic documentation from 2010 serves as a crucial baseline.[8]

D. Significance Highlight

The pre-2010 renown of Ain Dara stemmed from several key characteristics. Its extensive and well-preserved basalt reliefs and sculptures offered invaluable insights into Syro-Hittite art and iconography.[3] The presence of colossal carved footprints on the temple thresholds presented a unique, unparalleled feature in Ancient Near Eastern architecture, sparking considerable debate regarding their meaning.[7] Furthermore, the temple's architectural layout

and decorative elements bore striking resemblances to the textual descriptions of Solomon's Temple, making Ain Dara a focal point in discussions about Levantine religious architecture and biblical archaeology.[1]

II. Discovery, Excavation, and Conservation (Pre-2010)

A. Initial Discovery

The archaeological significance of Tell Ain Dara first came to light serendipitously in 1954 or 1955 with the accidental discovery of a monumental basalt lion statue.[4] This impressive find, reportedly found lying on its side on the western part of the tell, immediately signaled the presence of a potentially important ancient site and catalyzed formal archaeological investigation.[4] The discovery of such a large-scale, high-quality sculpture hinted at a settlement of considerable status during antiquity.

B. Excavation Campaigns

Following the initial discovery, systematic archaeological work commenced. Several campaigns of excavation were undertaken:

- **1956, 1962, 1964**: Initial excavations were conducted under the leadership of Feisal Seirafi, with Maurice Dunand also involved in the early work.[14] These early phases likely focused on understanding the nature of the site revealed by the lion statue.
- **1976, 1978, 1980–1988**: More extensive excavations were carried out under the direction of Dr. Ali Abu Assaf, representing the Syrian Directorate-General of Antiquities & Museums (DGAM).[4] It was during these campaigns that the remarkable Iron Age temple structure, the site's most significant feature, was substantially uncovered and documented.[12] Assaf's work formed the primary basis for understanding the temple's layout, chronology, and features prior to 2010.
- **Later Surveys**: An American team, led by Elizabeth Stone and Paul Zimansky, later conducted surveys focusing on the lower town area of the site, complementing the work done on the acropolis.[2]

C. Conservation Efforts (Pre-2010)

Recognizing the exceptional importance and relative fragility of the excavated temple, a significant conservation and restoration project was undertaken between 1994 and 1998 (some sources state 1994-1996).[4] This was a collaborative effort between the Syrian DGAM, specifically the Aleppo Museums and Antiquities directorate, and the Tokyo National Research Institute for Cultural Properties (now part of the Tokyo National Institute for Cultural Heritage).[4] This joint project represented a major international effort aimed at the long-term preservation of the temple's unique architectural and sculptural elements, ensuring its stability and accessibility for study and visitation in the years leading up to 2010. Additionally, movable artifacts discovered during excavations, including reliefs, orthostats, steles, and sculptural fragments not found in their original positions, were removed for safekeeping and

display at the Aleppo Museum.[14]
The history of work at Ain Dara—from accidental discovery and sustained national excavation efforts to international surveys and collaborative conservation—reflects a pattern common to major archaeological sites in the region. It underscores the site's recognized cultural value at both the national Syrian level and within the international scholarly community well before the dramatic events of the following decade. This trajectory highlights a period of increasing cooperation in the study and preservation of Syria's rich archaeological heritage.

III. Chronology and Historical Context

A. Site Occupation Span

Tell Ain Dara was not solely an Iron Age site; archaeological investigations revealed a long and complex history of occupation spanning millennia.[1] While the most prominent remains, including the temple, date primarily to the Iron Age (roughly late second to early first millennium BCE), evidence suggests human activity began much earlier.[1] Some sources point to a Neolithic presence nearby, possibly indicating settlement in the fertile river valley dating back approximately 10,000 years, though extensive excavation of these earliest levels had not occurred pre-2010.[19] The main settlement phases identified on the tell itself include:

- **First Major Phase**: Late second millennium BCE through the Iron Age, ending around the first century BCE.[1] This period encompasses the construction and use of the famous temple. Subsequent layers within this phase show evidence of Achaemenid (Persian) and Hellenistic (Seleucid) occupation, indicating the site remained important, likely as a fortified town, through these periods.[18] Finds from these later layers include distinctive pottery, figurines (including depictions of Astarte/Ishtar and Ahura Mazda), and Seleucid silver coins.[18]
- **Period of Abandonment**: A significant gap in occupation appears to have occurred for roughly 600 years, corresponding approximately to the Roman and early Byzantine periods.[1] It is suggested that the regional administrative center may have shifted to nearby Basuta during this time.[18]
- **Second Major Phase**: Occupation resumed around the 7th century CE and continued through the Islamic periods (Umayyad, Abbasid) possibly up to the 14th century CE.[1] Evidence from this phase includes remnants of buildings, agricultural tools (ploughs, sickles), olive presses, ovens, metalworking evidence, Christian symbols (bronze crosses, church remains), and Byzantine gold coins, suggesting a thriving agricultural community that experienced periods of Byzantine control.[18]

B. Temple Chronology

The dating of the temple itself, while centered on the Iron Age, presents some nuances based on different analyses and sources available before 2010:

- **General Range**: The most frequently cited period for the temple's existence, attributed primarily to the excavator Ali Abu Assaf, spans from approximately 1300 BCE to 740

BCE.[4] This places its foundation in the Late Bronze Age, potentially coinciding with the later years of the Hittite Empire or the period immediately following its collapse, and its final use in the mid-Iron Age II.

- **Alternative Dates & Challenges**: Other sources offer slightly different ranges, such as 1200-740 BCE [20], 1000-740 BCE [32], or 10th-8th centuries BCE.[8] Some analyses focus on the artwork, suggesting dates between 1300 and 1100 BCE for different sculptural phases.[14] These variations underscore the difficulty in precisely dating the temple's phases due to the lack of contemporary written documents discovered *in situ* that could provide explicit chronological anchors.[14] Dating therefore relies heavily on stratigraphic context, ceramic analysis, and comparative stylistic analysis of the architecture and sculpture, methods which inherently involve degrees of interpretation and potential debate.
- **Construction Phases**: Assaf proposed a development in three main structural phases [11]:
    - *Phase 1 (c. 1300–1000 BCE)*: Construction of the initial temple structure on an earlier platform.[12]
    - *Phase 2 (c. 1000–900 BCE)*: Modifications and additions, including basalt piers, reliefs, and a stele in the shrine area.[12] This phase corresponds chronologically to the traditional dating of Solomon's Temple.
    - *Phase 3 (c. 900–740 BCE)*: Addition of the elaborate ambulatory, or surrounding hallway with side chambers.[11]
- **End of Use**: The temple appears to have been destroyed or abandoned around 740 BCE or sometime in the 8th century BCE.[1] Assaf suggested the building was subsequently cleared of rubble, perhaps in preparation for reconstruction, but this rebuilding effort never materialized, and the ruins were eventually covered by later occupation layers.[1] This terminal date aligns with the period of Neo-Assyrian expansion into Syria.

C. Historical Setting

The temple's lifespan places it squarely within the Syro-Hittite (or Neo-Hittite) cultural and political landscape that emerged in northern Syria and southeastern Anatolia after the disintegration of the Hittite Empire around 1180 BCE.[7] This period saw the rise of numerous small kingdoms and city-states, often ruled by dynasties claiming Hittite heritage but increasingly influenced by Aramaean populations and culture.[1] Ain Dara was likely the capital or a major religious center of one such small kingdom, although its ancient name remains unknown.[1] Epigraphic evidence and artistic styles suggest a degree of cultural continuity from the Hittite Empire period into the Early Iron Age Syro-Hittite states.[7] During the early first millennium BCE, the region, including Ain Dara, came under the control of the powerful Aramaean state of Bit Agusi, centered perhaps at Arpad (Tell Rifa'at).[1] The temple's final phase and eventual demise coincide with the westward expansion of the Neo-Assyrian Empire, which systematically conquered and incorporated the Syro-Hittite and Aramaean states into its provincial system during the second half of the 8th century BCE.[1] Some sources

explicitly associate the temple's construction with the Aramaeans [8], reflecting the complex cultural synthesis of the period.
The temple's long and phased chronology is not merely a sequence of dates but mirrors the turbulent political and cultural dynamics of North Syria during the transition from the Late Bronze Age to the Iron Age. Its development likely reflects the changing fortunes, resources, and perhaps even religious orientations of the local polity it served, ultimately ending during the period of Assyrian conquest that reshaped the geopolitical map of the Near East. The challenges in precise dating highlight the crucial role of comparative archaeology in understanding sites lacking extensive textual records.

IV. The Ain Dara Site: Acropolis and Temple Layout

A. Site Morphology

Tell Ain Dara comprises two main components: a prominent high mound, often referred to as the citadel or acropolis, and an extensive, flatter lower town area extending primarily to the north and east of the acropolis.[1] The acropolis, situated at the southwestern or northwestern edge of the site (sources vary slightly on the exact placement relative to the lower town), rises significantly above the surrounding plain, with estimates of its height around 20-30 meters.[1] Dimensions provided for the acropolis mound include approximately 125m north-south by 60m east-west [16], or a surface area of 7500 sq meters.[19] The lower town covers a much larger area, estimated at 270m by 170m [16] or 4600 sq meters.[20] Morphological evidence suggested that both the lower town and the citadel were fortified, although the extent and nature of these fortifications, particularly between the citadel and lower town, were not fully clarified by excavations prior to 2010.[16] A basalt lion statue found south of the temple on the citadel mound was interpreted as possibly belonging to a gateway separating different zones within the acropolis itself.[16]

B. Temple Location and Orientation

The celebrated Iron Age temple was located on the acropolis, specifically occupying its northern or northwestern edge.[1] This placement gave it a commanding position overlooking the surrounding plain and the Afrin River valley.[4] The temple was consistently oriented with its entrance facing southeast and its rear wall towards the northwest.[1]

C. Overall Temple Dimensions

Reported dimensions for the temple structure vary slightly across different sources, likely depending on whether measurements include the platform, the ambulatory, or just the core building. Common figures include: 30 meters by 30 meters [7]; 38 meters long by 32 meters wide [14]; 30 meters long by 20 meters wide (excluding side chambers) [11]; and 23.5 meters wide by 30 meters long.[4] Despite these variations, all accounts point to a substantial, monumental structure.

D. The Approach: Courtyard and Platform

Access to the sacred precinct involved a progression through distinct spaces. Preceding the temple proper was a large, open courtyard.[7] This courtyard was paved with flagstones, described in some sources as alternating slabs of basalt and limestone.[12] Within the courtyard stood a large stone basin, identified as chalkstone or simply stone, situated southeast of the temple structure.[7] This basin is widely interpreted as having served a ceremonial purpose, likely for ritual purification (washing or libations) before entering the temple itself.[12] One source also mentions the presence of a well in the courtyard.[16]

The temple building itself was not constructed at ground level but stood upon a substantial raised platform or terrace.[1] This platform elevated the temple approximately 0.7 to 0.76 meters (about 2.5 feet) above the courtyard level.[7] Its construction involved a core of rubble and limestone, faced or lined with basalt blocks, many of which were engraved with intricate reliefs.[7]

This carefully designed approach sequence—from the open, public space of the lower town (presumably) to the fortified acropolis, then into a preparatory courtyard with a purification basin, and finally ascending onto the sacred platform where the temple stood—clearly delineates a path of increasing sanctity. The physical elevation onto the platform marked a significant transition into the temple's immediate sacred space. This architectural arrangement was not merely practical but deeply symbolic, embodying concepts of ritual purity, hierarchical access, and the separation of the sacred from the profane, guiding the movement and experience of worshippers (or symbolically representing the deity's own passage) towards the divine presence housed within.

V. Temple Architecture: Structure and Features

The Ain Dara temple, as revealed by excavations before 2010, exhibited a sophisticated architectural plan with several distinct components and features, built primarily using basalt and limestone, with evidence suggesting now-vanished mudbrick and timber superstructures.

A. Staircase

A monumental staircase provided access from the courtyard up to the temple platform on its southeastern side.[7] This staircase was notably wide, reported as 11 meters across [16], and constructed from large basalt monoliths. Sources mention three surviving steps out of an original four or five.[11] Flanking the staircase were imposing guardian figures carved from basalt, specifically sphinxes and lions, emphasizing the transition into the sacred space.[7] One source notes the steps were decorated with a carved guilloche pattern, consisting of interlacing curved lines.[12]

B. Portico (Entrance Porch)

The entrance to the temple building itself was formed by a portico, described as a broad niche recessed between the projecting ends of the temple's side walls (antae).[11] This

architectural form is known as *distyle in antis*, characterized by two columns set between the antae.[12] While the columns themselves (likely wooden) did not survive, their presence was confirmed by two large, circular basalt bases, each approximately 3 feet (nearly 1 meter) in diameter.[12] These columns would have supported a roof over the portico area.[12] Flanking the portico were wide, square projections that may have served as bases for towers or contained staircases leading to upper levels or the roof.[12] The floor of the portico was paved with large limestone slabs, including the significant threshold stones bearing the first set of carved footprints.[7]

C. Antecella (Middle Room/Pronaos)

Passing through the portico, one entered the antecella, a rectangular transverse room situated between the portico and the main hall.[11] This middle room measured approximately 6 meters deep and 15.5 to 15.8 meters wide.[7] Like the portico, it was paved with limestone slabs.[16] Flat limestone monoliths served as thresholds at its entrance from the portico.[16] The walls of the antecella were adorned with reliefs, including depictions of lions, guilloché patterns, and panels possibly imitating windows.[11] Some interpretations suggest three steps led up from the antecella into the main hall (cella).[12]

D. Cella (Main Hall/Naos)

The heart of the temple was the cella, a large, nearly square main hall located beyond the antecella.[11] Its dimensions are reported as approximately 16 meters by 16 meters, or 16.7 meters by 16.8 meters.[7] The cella floor was also paved with limestone slabs.[16] The threshold leading into the cella from the antecella was another significant limestone monolith, bearing the final carved right footprint.[16] The lower parts of the cella's walls were lined with basalt reliefs.[11]

E. Inner Sanctum (Podium/Shrine/Holy of Holies)

At the rear (northwestern end) of the cella lay the most sacred part of the temple: an elevated podium or platform, often interpreted as the inner shrine or "holy of holies" (debir in biblical terms).[11] This platform was raised about 0.75 meters (2.5 feet) above the cella floor.[11] A ramp provided access from the main hall up to the podium.[11] Set into the back wall of the chamber behind the podium was a shallow niche (adyton), which likely housed the principal cult image (a statue of the deity) or perhaps a sacred stele.[7] The walls of the podium area itself were lined with reliefs, notably depicting mountain gods.[12] Evidence such as carved sockets or grooves in the side walls of the main hall suggested the former presence of a wooden screen that could have separated the sacred podium area from the rest of the cella.[11] Additionally, a row of decorated orthostats running transversely across the cella, found about halfway in, was interpreted either as part of the podium structure or possibly a secondary dividing wall added later, similar to features found in the contemporary Storm God temple in Aleppo.[16]

F. Ambulatory (Side Chambers/Gallery)

A significant architectural element, added during the temple's third construction phase (c. 900–740 BCE), was an ambulatory or gallery consisting of a series of side chambers.[11] This structure wrapped around three sides of the main temple building (west, north, and east), resting on an extension of the temple platform.[12] Entrances to this surrounding hallway were located off the portico area and were guarded by lion sculptures.[12] The ambulatory appears to have been multistoried, with suggestions of at least three levels.[11] Its interior featured paved floors and was elaborately decorated; the walls were lined with over 80 carved basalt panels or orthostats, and some 30 stelae stood opposite them, featuring a variety of scenes including a king on his throne, palm trees, standing gods, and offering scenes.[11] The high quality and richness of the decoration within the ambulatory led scholars to conclude that it served a ceremonial or ritual function, rather than being simple storage space.[12]

G. Construction Materials and Techniques

The temple's builders employed a deliberate contrast in materials. Dark, durable basalt was the primary choice for structural blocks, orthostats lining the lower walls, decorative reliefs, sculptures (lions, sphinxes), column bases, and the entrance staircase.[1] Lighter-colored limestone was used for the foundations, the pavement of the interior rooms (portico, antecella, cella) and courtyard, the significant threshold blocks bearing the footprints, and the courtyard basin.[3] Sandstone was also mentioned for the courtyard approach.[7] While only the stone elements survived substantially, archaeological evidence and architectural parallels strongly suggest that the upper walls were constructed of mudbrick resting on the basalt orthostat bases, and that the structure was roofed with timber, likely also featuring wooden paneling and screens (like the one inferred for the podium).[11]

The temple's architecture reveals considerable sophistication. It adhered to a well-established tripartite, long-room plan common in the region, yet incorporated unique elements like the footprints and the later, elaborate ambulatory. The monumental scale, the careful use of contrasting stone materials for structural and aesthetic effect, and the evidence of significant modifications over centuries point to Ain Dara as a major religious center, reflecting both adherence to broader architectural traditions and specific local developments and resources. The addition of the richly decorated ambulatory in Phase 3 is particularly noteworthy, suggesting an expansion or elaboration of the cultic activities associated with the temple in its later history.

VI. Sculptural Decoration and Artistry

The Ain Dara temple was renowned, even before 2010, for its abundant and intricate sculptural program, executed primarily in dark basalt stone. This artistry provides crucial insights into the religious iconography and aesthetic sensibilities of the Syro-Hittite period.

A. Style

The artistic style of the sculptures and reliefs is consistently identified as Syro-Hittite or Neo-Hittite.[1] This style represents a fusion of traditions inherited from the Hittite Empire with local Syrian and increasingly prominent Aramaean artistic conventions that developed in the centuries following the Late Bronze Age collapse.[7] The carvings are generally characterized by robust forms, detailed execution, and a distinctive iconographic repertoire.[12] Basalt was the overwhelmingly preferred medium, lending a dramatic, dark quality to the decorated surfaces.[1]

B. Exterior Decoration

The temple presented a richly decorated exterior designed to impress and convey sacred power:

- **Platform Façade**: The basalt blocks lining the exterior of the raised platform on which the temple stood were engraved with processions or rows of figures, including lions, sphinxes, and other mythical creatures.[7] These figures were often depicted in profile view but with their heads turned to face outwards, engaging the viewer.[16]
- **Staircase Flanks**: As noted, the monumental entrance staircase was flanked by large basalt sculptures of guardian figures, specifically sphinxes and lions, marking the formal entrance to the sacred platform.[7]
- **Portico Area**: The entrance porch featured architectural sculptures, including protomes (frontal depictions of creature heads/busts) flanking the entrance, stylistically similar to Hittite examples from Hattusa and Aleppo.[16] Cherubim reliefs were also reported on the exterior, possibly in this area.[11]
- **Ambulatory Exterior Walls**: The outer walls of the Phase 3 ambulatory were also adorned with reliefs, continuing the theme of lions and sphinxes.[12]

C. Interior Decoration

The sculptural program continued within the temple's sequence of rooms:

- **Portico Walls**: The inner walls of the entrance portico featured large reliefs of sphinxes and colossal lions, symbolically guarding the passage into the antechamber.[12]
- **Antechamber Walls**: The lower walls of the antechamber were lined with basalt orthostats carved with various motifs. These included decorative patterns like guilloches (interlacing bands) and floral or ribbon designs [11], panels resembling windows [11], depictions of mountain gods [16], and intriguing representations of immense clawed creatures, of which often only the feet remained preserved.[4]
- **Cella Entrance**: The doorposts leading from the antechamber into the main hall (cella) were decorated with lions carved in profile.[12]
- **Cella Walls**: Basalt reliefs adorned the lower wall panels within the main hall.[11]
- **Podium/Shrine Area**: The most sacred area at the rear of the cella featured significant reliefs. Depictions of mountain gods lined the podium structure.[12] One source suggests these appeared in alternating rows with other mythical beings, such as lion-headed or eagle-headed winged figures, or bull-human hybrids.[16] A stele was also added to this

area during Phase 2.[11]

- **Ambulatory Walls**: The interior walls of the surrounding ambulatory were particularly rich in decoration, lined with over 80 carved basalt panels and featuring some 30 opposing stelae. These displayed a diverse range of scenes, including depictions of a king seated on his throne, palm trees, standing gods, and offering rituals.[12]

D. Notable Individual Sculptures

Several specific sculptural elements were particularly noteworthy:

- **Colossal Basalt Lion(s)**: The massive lion statue discovered in 1954/55 was iconic.[4] Other large lion sculptures served as guardians at various points, such as flanking the staircase and guarding the entrances to the ambulatory.[12] These lions held significant symbolic weight, often associated with power, royalty, and specific deities.[17]
- **Sphinxes**: These composite mythical creatures, typically having the body of a lion and a human head, were prominently featured as guardian figures at entrances and on wall reliefs.[3] Like lions, they served an apotropaic (protective) function.
- **"Ishtar/Shaushga" Stele**: A significant relief stele, found in a secondary context (not its original placement) near the wall between the antecella and cella, depicted a female figure identified by the excavator and other scholars as the goddess Ishtar (Mesopotamian) or her Hurro-Hittite counterpart Shaushga.[1] This identification was based on iconographic details such as her attire (a long coat open below the waist) and a wing shown growing from her shoulder.[16] This stele became a key piece of evidence in the debate over the temple's dedication.
- **Mountain Gods**: Reliefs depicting mountain gods, characterized by horned headdresses and scale-patterned skirts representing mountains, were found associated with the inner parts of the temple, particularly the antecella and the podium in the cella.[7]

The extensive and complex sculptural program at Ain Dara was clearly integral to the temple's function and meaning. Apotropaic figures like lions and sphinxes were strategically placed at thresholds and along exterior walls to define sacred boundaries and provide symbolic protection. Representations of deities, such as the mountain gods associated with the inner sanctum and the prominent "Ishtar" stele, pointed towards the divine powers worshipped within. The diverse scenes in the ambulatory suggested additional ritual or narrative functions associated with that space. The sheer quantity, monumental scale, and artistic quality of the basalt sculptures underscored the temple's status as a major religious center and the significant investment of resources and skilled craftsmanship in its creation and adornment.

VII. The Giant Footprints

Among the most distinctive and widely discussed features of the Ain Dara temple were the colossal footprints carved into its stone thresholds. These enigmatic carvings set Ain Dara apart from other known temples in the region.

A. Description and Location

These unique markings were not impressions but deliberate carvings into the flat, limestone threshold blocks at key points of entry within the temple.[2] Their placement followed a specific sequence:

1. A pair of parallel, bare footprints, oriented inwards, was carved side-by-side on the first large threshold slab encountered when entering the portico (or possibly the antecella, sources vary slightly on the exact room boundary).[7]
2. Beyond this pair, on the next threshold slab (leading towards the cella), a single left footprint was carved.[7]
3. Finally, on the threshold slab at the entrance to the main hall (cella), a single right footprint was carved.[7]

Each footprint was massive, measuring approximately 1 meter (about 3.3 feet) in length.[7] The distance between the single left and single right footprints implied an enormous stride of about 9 to 10 meters (roughly 30 feet).[7] Extrapolating from this stride, the being represented would have stood an estimated 20 meters (around 65 feet) tall.[7]

B. Interpretation

The overwhelming scholarly consensus before 2010 interpreted these giant footprints as a powerful symbolic representation of the temple's resident deity.[7] They were seen as marking the god's or goddess's divine presence and symbolically depicting their act of striding into the temple, moving progressively towards the inner sanctum or throne room.[7] This interpretation finds resonance with concepts of divine presence in temples across the Ancient Near East, including a notable parallel drawn by some scholars to the description in the Hebrew Bible, Ezekiel 43:7, where Yahweh refers to the Jerusalem Temple as "the place of My throne and the place for the soles of My feet".[11] While alternative suggestions, such as animal prints or tracks of legendary giants, were occasionally mentioned, the human-like shape and the deliberate placement strongly favored the divine interpretation.[7] One local interpretation suggested a specific ritual path for worshippers involving purification at the basin, pausing at the first threshold with the pair of feet, and then proceeding left-foot-first then right-foot-first into the inner areas.[21]

C. Uniqueness

A crucial aspect of the Ain Dara footprints, repeatedly emphasized in pre-2010 literature, was their apparent uniqueness. No comparable examples of monumental carved footprints integrated into the architectural thresholds of temples were known from Anatolia, North Syria, or the wider Ancient Near East at that time.[1] This singularity made the Ain Dara temple particularly valuable for understanding the diverse ways ancient cultures conceptualized and represented divine immanence.

The footprints thus offered a rare and tangible glimpse into the religious ideology of Ain Dara's builders. They provided a dramatic visual metaphor for the deity's connection to the

physical temple structure—not merely as a resident deity contained within the innermost shrine, but as a dynamic, colossal presence actively traversing and inhabiting the sacred space. The specific sequence—pair, left, right—suggested a deliberate, perhaps ritually significant, mode of entry, possibly mirroring or dictating the movements expected of human participants in cultic ceremonies. This unparalleled feature remains a key element defining Ain Dara's contribution to our understanding of Syro-Hittite religious thought and practice.

VIII. The Temple's Dedication: Scholarly Perspectives

Despite the richness of the temple's architecture and sculptural program, identifying the specific deity to whom it was dedicated remained a subject of scholarly discussion before 2010. The primary challenge stemmed from the lack of definitive inscriptional evidence found *in situ* that explicitly named the temple's patron god or goddess.[1] Consequently, interpretations relied on analyzing the available iconographic evidence and drawing parallels with regional religious traditions.

A. Case for Ishtar/Shaushga

The excavator, Ali Abu Assaf, proposed that the temple was dedicated to Ishtar, the prominent Mesopotamian goddess of love, fertility, and war, or her Hurrian/Hittite equivalent, Shaushga.[1] This attribution was based on several lines of evidence:

- **The "Ishtar" Stele**: A key piece of evidence was the basalt stele found near the cella depicting a goddess whose iconography (attire, wings) strongly resembled known representations of Ishtar/Shaushga.[1] However, a significant caveat was that this stele was discovered in a secondary context, meaning it was not found in its original, intended location, which slightly weakens its value as definitive proof of the temple's primary dedication.[1]
- **Lion Motifs**: The pervasive presence of lion sculptures and reliefs throughout the temple complex was considered strong supporting evidence, as the lion was a well-established attribute animal closely associated with Ishtar/Shaushga.[12]
- **Mountain God Connection**: Some interpretations suggested a mythological link between the mountain gods depicted in the reliefs and Ishtar, who in some traditions took a mountain god as her consort.[12]
- **Figurines**: While dating to a later (Achaemenid) period, the discovery of female figurines, possibly representing Astarte (a related Canaanite goddess), at the site was also noted.[18]

B. Case for Ba'al Hadad (or a Storm God)

An alternative and prominent hypothesis proposed that the temple was dedicated to the great Storm God, known regionally as Ba'al Hadad (or simply Hadad, Adad, Teshub in Hittite contexts).[1] This argument centered primarily on:

- **The Giant Footprints**: The unique and monumental footprints were interpreted by proponents of this view as representing the Storm God striding into his temple.[1] This

powerful imagery aligned well with the character of a major male deity like the Storm God, who held supreme importance in the Syrian pantheon.

- **Regional Prominence**: Storm gods were exceptionally significant deities throughout ancient Syria and Anatolia, with major temples dedicated to them in important centers like Aleppo.[16] Dedicating such a monumental temple at Ain Dara to the Storm God would fit this regional pattern.

C. Other Possibilities

While Ishtar/Shaushga and Ba'al Hadad were the leading candidates, other possibilities were occasionally mentioned, such as Astarte (the Canaanite equivalent of Ishtar) [11] or perhaps a local "god of the mountain" given the presence of mountain god reliefs [21], although these received less detailed argumentation in the available pre-2010 sources.

The ongoing discussion surrounding the temple's dedication highlights the interpretive challenges inherent in archaeology when direct textual evidence is absent. Scholars were forced to weigh different aspects of the rich iconographic program—the lions and the specific goddess stele versus the unique footprints—and consider them within the broader context of Ancient Near Eastern religion. The debate itself reflected the complexity and multivalence of the temple's symbolism, allowing for plausible arguments supporting different divine patrons. Ultimately, prior to 2010, the identity of the deity of Ain Dara remained an open question.

IX. Ain Dara in Context: Significance and Parallels (Pre-2010)

The Ain Dara temple held considerable significance in the study of Ancient Near Eastern archaeology and history, extending beyond its local importance. Its value stemmed from its state of preservation, its rich artistic program, its unique features, and its position within broader architectural and cultural contexts.

A. Regional Importance

As established previously, Ain Dara stood out as one of the most important and best-preserved examples of Syro-Hittite religious architecture dating to the Iron Age.[1] It provided invaluable material evidence for understanding the religious beliefs, ritual practices, and artistic achievements of the complex societies that emerged in North Syria after the decline of the great Bronze Age powers.[7] Locally, it was recognized as a prominent symbol of regional heritage and served as a significant tourist attraction before the Syrian conflict began.[3]

B. The Solomon's Temple Parallel

Perhaps the most widely cited aspect of Ain Dara's significance, particularly in Western scholarship and biblical studies, was its striking resemblance to the descriptions of Solomon's Temple in Jerusalem found in the Hebrew Bible (primarily 1 Kings 6-7).[1] For decades leading up to 2010, Ain Dara was frequently hailed as the closest known archaeological parallel to the

First Temple, a structure for which no direct archaeological evidence has been recovered in Jerusalem.[12]

The perceived similarities were numerous and detailed:

- **Overall Plan**: Both temples shared a fundamental tripartite layout, progressing along a straight axis from an entrance portico, through a main hall, to an inner shrine (Holy of Holies or *debir*).[7] This is often referred to as a "long-room" plan.
- **Raised Platform**: Both structures were erected on elevated platforms, setting them apart from their surroundings.[11]
- **Entrance Columns**: Both temples featured two prominent columns flanking the entrance (named Jachin and Boaz in the biblical account).[11]
- **Side Chambers (Ambulatory)**: A particularly striking parallel was the presence in both temples of multistoried side chambers or hallways wrapping around three sides of the main building.[11]
- **Decorative Motifs**: Both employed similar decorative elements, including carvings of lions, cherubim (often equated with the sphinxes at Ain Dara), palm trees or other floral patterns, and the use of carved stone orthostats lining the walls.[7]
- **Courtyard Basin**: Both temple complexes included a large basin in the outer courtyard, likely for ritual ablutions.[11]

Despite these strong similarities, differences were also noted. The Ain Dara temple possesses a distinct antechamber (a transverse room) between the portico and the main hall, a feature not explicitly described in the same way for Solomon's Temple.[11] Furthermore, while the overall scale was comparable, the exact dimensions differed, with Ain Dara being considerably wider relative to its length than the biblical temple.[11]

The significance of this parallel lay in Ain Dara providing tangible, archaeological context for the biblical description. It demonstrated that the features described for Solomon's Temple were not unique or imaginary but belonged to a recognized architectural tradition prevalent in the Levant during the Iron Age.[7] Ain Dara helped scholars visualize and interpret the often enigmatic textual details of the Jerusalem Temple. While cautioning against assuming direct influence or identity, the comparison strongly suggested that Solomon's Temple, if historical, likely shared a common architectural heritage with temples like Ain Dara. It is worth noting that even before 2010, some scholars pointed to other relevant parallels (like the temple at Tell Tayinat) or urged restraint in the comparison [2], and later discoveries (like the temple at Moza near Jerusalem) would further enrich this discussion.[35]

C. Broader Architectural Context

Beyond the specific comparison with Solomon's Temple, Ain Dara was crucial for understanding the broader development of temple architecture in Syria and the Levant during the Late Bronze and Iron Ages. Its plan fits within the well-documented *Langraum* ("long room") temple type, characterized by a linear progression of spaces along a single axis.[34] The entrance portico with columns between antae (*distyle in antis*) is also a recognized regional feature with deep roots.[1] Ain Dara shared architectural characteristics with numerous other

temples excavated across the region, including sites like Tell Tayinat, Ebla (Temple D), Emar, Munbaqa, Hazor, Carchemish, and Alalakh, demonstrating its participation in a widespread architectural koine.[3] Studying Ain Dara helped refine typologies and understand variations within these shared traditions.

D. Table: Ain Dara vs. Solomon's Temple (Comparative Features Pre-2010)

The following table summarizes the key architectural parallels and differences discussed in scholarly literature before 2010:

Feature	Ain Dara Temple Description (Pre-2010)	Solomon's Temple Description (Based on 1 Kings 6-7)
Overall Plan	Tripartite: Portico, Antecella, Cella (Main Hall) with rear Podium/Shrine. Straight long-room axis.[7]	Tripartite: Ulam (Porch), Hekhal (Sanctuary/Main Hall), Debir (Holy of Holies). Straight long-room axis.[11]
Location	On high place (acropolis) overlooking surrounding area.[2]	On high place (Temple Mount) overlooking Jerusalem.[11]
Platform	Built on a raised platform (~0.75m high), faced with decorated basalt blocks.[7]	Implied raised structure, likely on a platform.[11]
Dimensions	Variable reports: ~30x30m, ~38x32m, or ~30x20m (core building).[4] Wider proportions than Solomon's.	Main building ~35m (60 cubits) long x ~9m (20 cubits) wide.[11]
Entrance Portico	*Distyle in antis* (niche between antae) with bases for two columns.[12]	Ulam (Porch) with two freestanding (?) bronze pillars (Jachin and Boaz).[11]
Antechamber	Distinct transverse rectangular room between portico and cella.[11]	No distinct antechamber described between Ulam and Hekhal; Ulam leads directly to Hekhal.[11]
Side Chambers	Multistoried ambulatory with rooms wrapping around 3 sides (W, N, E), added in Phase 3.[11]	Multistoried structure with side chambers wrapping around 3 sides (S, W, N) of the Hekhal and Debir.[11]
Key Decorations	Basalt reliefs: Lions, Sphinxes, Mountain Gods, Guilloches, Clawed Creatures. Orthostats.[7]	Carvings (likely on wood overlays): Cherubim, Palm Trees, Open Flowers, Gourds. Gold overlay.[11]

Courtyard Basin	Large stone/chalkstone basin in the courtyard for ceremonial use.[7]	Large bronze basin ("Sea") in the courtyard for priestly ablutions.[11]
Giant Footprints	Unique feature: ~1m long carved footprints on thresholds.[7]	No mention of carved footprints. Ezekiel 43:7 mentions "place for the soles of My feet" metaphorically.[11]

E. Ain Dara as an Archaeological Anchor

Before 2010, the Ain Dara temple served as a crucial, tangible reference point in Near Eastern archaeology. Its well-preserved state and detailed features provided a concrete example against which other, less well-preserved or purely textually described structures could be compared. Given the complete lack of direct archaeological remains for Solomon's Temple in Jerusalem [12], a contemporary, architecturally similar, and extensively excavated temple like Ain Dara became indispensable for biblical scholars and archaeologists seeking to understand the First Temple period.[1] While acknowledging the differences, the strong parallels in plan, elevation, and decoration allowed researchers to ground the biblical descriptions within a known Levantine architectural reality, countering arguments that the Temple account was purely legendary or reflective of much later periods.[7] Ain Dara thus functioned as a vital archaeological anchor, illuminating not only Syro-Hittite culture but also the broader religious and architectural landscape of the Iron Age Levant.

X. Conclusion

A. Summary of Key Features

As understood from archaeological work and scholarly analysis conducted prior to 2010, the Ain Dara temple complex represented a major monument of the Ancient Near East. Its defining characteristics included its monumental scale and sophisticated Syro-Hittite architecture, adhering to the regional long-room temple plan but elaborated over three distinct phases. It was renowned for its extensive program of basalt sculptures and reliefs, featuring guardian lions and sphinxes, mythical creatures, divine figures (including mountain gods and a potential representation of Ishtar/Shaushga), and diverse ritual or royal scenes. Perhaps its most unique feature was the series of colossal carved footprints on its thresholds, interpreted as symbolizing the passage of the resident deity. Despite the richness of the evidence, the precise deity to whom the temple was dedicated remained uncertain, with both Ishtar/Shaushga and the Storm God Ba'al Hadad being prominent candidates based on iconographic interpretations.

B. Recap of Significance

The significance of Ain Dara before 2010 was multifaceted. It stood as a primary, well-preserved example of Syro-Hittite religious art and architecture, offering crucial insights

into the culture and history of North Syria during the Iron Age transition. Its features illuminated broader trends in Levantine temple design, connecting it to numerous other sites across the region. Furthermore, its remarkable parallels with the biblical description of Solomon's Temple gave it a unique and influential role in biblical archaeology, serving as a tangible reference point for understanding the elusive First Temple and grounding textual descriptions in material culture.

C. Final Statement

Based on the wealth of information available from excavations and studies completed before 2010, the Ain Dara temple was undeniably a monument of exceptional historical, architectural, and artistic importance. It offered a unique window into the religious world of the Syro-Hittite period and played a significant role in shaping our understanding of cultural and architectural interactions across the Iron Age Levant. Its intricate design, powerful sculptures, and enigmatic footprints cemented its status as a key site within the rich tapestry of Ancient Near Eastern heritage.

XI. Works Cited

Note: Full citation details were not available for all sources consulted. Entries based on provided snippets may be incomplete.

- Abu Assaf, Ali. *Der Tempel von 'Ain Dara*. Damaszener Forschungen 3. Mainz: Philipp von Zabern, 1990. (Cited indirectly via [2])
- Danti, Michael D., Darren P. Ashby, Marina Gabriel, and Susan Penacho. "CHI – Special Reports – Current Status of the Tell Ain Dara Temple." American Society of Overseas Research (ASOR). Initial Release: March 2, 2018, Updated: March 7, 2018. URL: https://www.asor.org/chi/reports/special-reports/tell-ain-dara-temple (Based on, referencing pre-2010 state described within)
- Ḏḥwty. "The Enigmatic Ain Dara Temple and the Giant Footsteps of the Gods." *Ancient Origins*. Updated 5 April, 2024. URL: https://www.ancient-origins.net/ancient-places-asia/ain-dara-temple-001655 (Based on [7], describing pre-damage state)
- Hittite Monuments. "Ain Dara." *Hittite Monuments*. Accessed [Date of access - cannot be determined from snippet]. URL: https://www.hittitemonuments.com/aindara/ (Full citation details unavailable per [14])
- Jammo, Sari. "A Story of a Holy Temple, Ain Dara, Syria." *Academia.edu*, 2022. URL: General platform URL https://www.academia.edu/ (Speciew* 26, no. 3 (May/June 2000): 20-35, 67. (Based on [12]) URL for PDF copy: https://drmsh.com/PaleoBabble/Ayn%20Dara%20Parallel%20to%20Solomons%20Temple.pdf
- Novák, Mirko. "The Temple of Ain Dārā in the Context of Imperial and Neo-Hittite Architecture and Art." In *Temple Building and Temple Cult: Architecture and Cultic Paraphernalia of Temples in the Levant (2nd–1st Mill. B.C.E.)*, edited by Jens Kamlah, Abhandlungen des Deutschen Palästina-Vereins 41, 195–211. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz

Verlag, 2012. URL for PDF copy: https://archiv.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/propylaeumdok/4426/1/Novak_Temple_AinDara_2012.pdf (Full citation details partially inferred, year 2012 refers to publication date, content reflects pre-2010 knowledge base; full citation details unavailable per [16])

- Stone, Elizabeth C., and Paul E. Zimansky. *The Iron Age Settlement at 'Ain Dara, Syria: Survey and Soundings*. BAR International Series 786. Oxford: Archaeopress, 1999. (Cited indirectly via [2])
- Wikipedia contributors. "Ain Dara (archaeological site)." *Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. Last updated 26 April 2025. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ain_Dara_(archaeological_site (Based on [11], describing pre-damage state)
- Wikipedia contributors. "Solomon's Temple." *Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. Last updated [Date cannot be determined from snippets]. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solomon%27s_Temple (Used for comparative details referenced in other snippets)

3

Works cited

1. Special Report: Current Status of the Tell Ain Dara Temple - Biblical Archaeology Society, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.biblicalarchaeology.org/daily/news/special-report-current-status-tell-ain-dara-temple/
2. CHI – Special Reports – Current Status of the Tell Ain Dara Temple ..., accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.asor.org/chi/reports/special-reports/tell-ain-dara-temple
3. (PDF) A Story of a Holy Temple, Ain Dara, Syria - Academia.edu, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.academia.edu/74424475/A_Story_of_a_Holy_Temple_Ain_Dara_Syria
4. Contribution: Idleb Antiquity Center, accessed April 30, 2025, https://rcwasia.hass.tsukuba.ac.jp/conservation/pub_Series_Ain%20Dara.pdf
5. CHI – UPDATE – Ain Dara - American Society of Overseas Research (ASOR), accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.asor.org/chi/announcements/updates/Ain-Dara
6. Turkish Shelling Destroys 3,000-Year-Old Temple in Afrin; Armenian Possibly Killed as Offensive Continues, accessed April 30, 2025, https://armenianweekly.com/2018/01/29/turkish-shelling-continues-in-afrin/
7. The Enigmatic Ain Dara Temple and the Giant Footsteps of the Gods | Ancient Origins, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.ancient-origins.net/ancient-places-asia/ain-dara-temple-001655
8. Turkish Forces Nearly Destroy the Ancient Syrian Temple of Ain Dara - Artnet News, accessed April 30, 2025, https://news.artnet.com/art-world-archives/destruction-ain-dara-1210982
9. Turkey Bombs 3,000 Year Old Syro-Hittite Site to Rubble - Cultural Property

News, accessed April 30, 2025, https://culturalpropertynews.org/turkey-bombs-3000-year-old-syro-hittite-site-to-rubble/
10. Ain Dara temple: a Pleiades place resource, accessed April 30, 2025, https://pleiades.stoa.org/places/818918654
11. Ain Dara (archaeological site) - Wikipedia, accessed April 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ain_Dara_(archaeological_site)
12. The New 'Ain Dara Temple: Closest Solomonic Parallel1, accessed April 30, 2025, https://drmsh.com/PaleoBabble/Ayn%20Dara%20Parallel%20to%20Solomons%20Temple.pdf
13. The New 'Ain Dara Temple: Closest Solomonic Parallel - The BAS ..., accessed April 30, 2025, https://library.biblicalarchaeology.org/article/the-new-ain-dara-temple-closest-solomonic-parallel/
14. Ain Dara - Hittite Monuments, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.hittitemonuments.com/aindara/
15. Photos: Destruction at Syria's Temple of Ain Dara - Live Science, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.livescience.com/61572-photos-destruction-syria-temple.html
16. archiv.ub.uni-heidelberg.de, accessed April 30, 2025, https://archiv.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/propylaeumdok/4426/1/Novak_Temple_AinDara_2012.pdf
17. The Lion Statue of Ain Dara: Revealing the Fate of an Icon of Syrian Archaeology Looted During the Conflict | International Journal of Cultural Property, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/international-journal-of-cultural-property/article/lion-statue-of-ain-dara-revealing-the-fate-of-an-icon-of-syrian-archaeology-looted-during-the-conflict/E5C39A892C631F939AF0684EC91CCCED
18. عين دارة (موقع أثري) - ويكيبيديا, accessed April 30, 2025, https://ar.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D8%B9%D9%8A%D9%86_%D8%AF%D8%A7%D8%B1%D8%A9_(%D9%85%D9%88%D9%82%D8%B9_%D8%A3%D8%AB%D8%B1%D9%8A)
19. آثار عين داره - لقمان عفرين, accessed April 30, 2025, http://lokmanafrin.com/eendara.htm
20. عين دارة.. زهرة عفرين البهية - صحيفة روناهي, accessed April 30, 2025, https://ronahi.net/?p=47699
21. "قصة الأقدام البشرية العملاقة في معبد "عين دارا - Zanubya, accessed April 30, 2025, https://zanubya.wordpress.com/2011/03/20/%D9%82%D8%B5%D8%A9-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A3%D9%82%D8%AF%D8%A7%D9%85-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A8%D8%B4%D8%B1%D9%8A%D8%A9-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B9%D9%85%D9%84%D8%A7%D9%82%D8%A9-%D9%81%D9%8A-%D9%85%D8%B9%D8%A8%D8%AF-%D8%B9/
22. Afrin - Turkish-backed groups undertake live ammunition exercises in Iron Age temple, accessed April 30, 2025, https://stj-sy.org/en/afrin-turkish-backed-groups-undertake-live-ammunition-exe

rcises-in-iron-age-temple/
23. Ain Dara, accessed April 30, 2025, https://romeartlover.tripod.com/Deinair.html
24. 1. The Ain Dara temple site in 2010 (photo by Michael Danti) - bellingcat, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.bellingcat.com/news/mena/2019/07/11/afrin-incidents-of-desecration-and-destruction-of-cultural-sites/attachment/1-the-ain-dara-temple-site-in-2010-photo-by-michael-danti/
25. Afrin: Incidents Of Desecration And Destruction Of Cultural Sites - bellingcat, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.bellingcat.com/news/mena/2019/07/11/afrin-incidents-of-desecration-and-destruction-of-cultural-sites/
26. Syria. Hitite monuments. Ain Dara Temple (1300 B.C.) Michael Danti (2010) - Pinterest, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.pinterest.com/pin/288300813665297756/
27. وطن.. بالعين المجردة: معبد عين دارة ضحية أخرى للحرب السورية - Jadaliyya, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.jadaliyya.com/Details/37575
28. Tokyo National Research Institute for Cultural Properties, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.tobunken.go.jp/index_e.html
29. عين دارة عفرين - Google Groups, accessed April 30, 2025, https://groups.google.com/g/indigo-dev/c/q0NerRErlzc
30. عين دارا - اكتشف سورية, accessed April 30, 2025, http://www.discover-syria.com/news/17462
31. Syrian Civil War: The Destruction of Syro-Hittite, Aramean and Assyrian Heritage in Ain Dara, accessed April 30, 2025, https://syriacpress.com/blog/2019/12/01/ayn-dara/
32. معبد عين دارا الآرامي - رحى للمدن القديمة, accessed April 30, 2025, https://raha-ac.com/%D9%85%D8%B9%D8%A8%D8%AF-%D8%B9%D9%8A%D9%86-%D8%AF%D8%A7%D8%B1%D8%A7-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%A2%D8%B1%D8%A7%D9%85%D9%8A/
33. The Lion Statue of Ain Dara: Revealing the Fate of an Icon of Syrian Archaeology Looted During the Conflict - ResearchGate, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387048103_The_Lion_Statue_of_Ain_Dara_Revealing_the_Fate_of_an_Icon_of_Syrian_Archaeology_Looted_During_the_Conflict
34. Solomon's Temple - Wikipedia, accessed April 30, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solomon%27s_Temple
35. previous article in this issue - PEETERS ONLINE JOURNALS, accessed April 30, 2025, https://poj.peeters-leuven.be/content.php?url=article&id=3285283
36. Planning a Temple - The BAS Library - Biblical Archaeology Society, accessed April 30, 2025, https://library.biblicalarchaeology.org/sidebar/planning-a-temple/
37. (PDF) The Temple of Solomon in Iron Age Context - ResearchGate, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331802352_The_Temple_of_Solomon_in_Iron_Age_Context
38. The Jerusalem temple between “theology” and archaeology: which issues, what

dialogue?, accessed April 30, 2025, https://journals.openedition.org/palethnologie/336
39. [PDF] The new 'Ain Dara temple : closest Solomonic parallel | Semantic Scholar, accessed April 30, 2025, https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-new-%27Ain-Dara-temple-%3A-closest-Solomonic-Monson/9dcc37aa0bc4406aa3918482120085b517137638